

Appendix D

References

These references support the methodologies and thinking employed in the publication, *Using The Knowledge Loom: Ideas and Tools for Collaborative Professional Development*.

General References About Professional Development

American Federation of Teachers. (1995). *Principles for professional development: AFT's guidelines for creating professional development programs that make a difference*. Washington, DC: Author.

Lieberman, A. (1995). Restructuring schools: The dynamics of changing practice, structure, and culture. In A. Lieberman (Ed.), *The work of restructuring schools*. New York: Teachers College Press.

National Partnership for Excellence and Accountability in Teaching. (1998-1999). *Characteristics of effective professional development: Nine research-based principles* [Online]. Available: <http://www.npeat.org/dialogue.htm>

National Staff Development Council. (2001). *Standards for staff development* (Rev. ed.). [Online]. Available: <http://www.nsd.org/educatorindex.htm>

Renyi, J. (1996). *Teachers take charge of their learning: Transforming professional development for student success*. Washington, DC: National Education Association's Foundation for the Improvement of Education. Available: http://www.nfie.org/publications/takecharge_full.htm

Benchmarking

Hess, J. (2000, August). Knowledge management of internal best practices. *Business Finance* [Online]. Chapel Hill, NC: Best Practices, LLC. Available: http://www.benchmarkingreports.com/knowledgemanagement/km31_internal_best_practices.asp

Kaplan, R. S. (1992, January/February). The balanced scorecard – Measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 71.

Letts, C., Ryann, W., & Grossman, A. (1999). *High performance nonprofit organizations: Managing upstream for greater impact*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

U.S. Department of Commerce. (2001). *The Baldrige education criteria for performance excellence*. [Online]. Available: http://www.quality.nist.gov/Education_Criteria.htm

Collaborative Inquiry

- Brennan, M., & Williamson. (1981). *Investigating learning in schools*. Victoria, Australia: Deakin University Press.
- Calhoun, E.F. (1994). *How to use action research in the self-renewing school*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S.L. (1999). *The teacher research movement: A decade later*. *Educational Researcher*, 28 (7), 15-25.
- Dunlap, D.R., Neale, D.C., & Carroll, J.M. (2000). Teacher collaboration in a networked community. *Educational Technology & Society* [Online] 3 (3). Available: http://ifets.ieee.org/periodical/vol_3_2000/f02.html
- Ferrance. E. (2000). *Action Research*. Providence RI: The Education Alliance.
- National Institute on Educational Governance, Finance, Policymaking and Management, Office of Educational Research Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, and The Consortium for Policy Research in Education. (1998, November). *What the third international mathematics and science study (TIMSS) means for systematic school improvement*. Washington, DC: Authors. Available: <http://www.ed.gov/pubs/TIMSSBrief/>
- Noffke, S.E., & Stevenson, R.B. (Eds). (1995). *Educational action research: Becoming practically critical*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Data-driven Decision Making

- Lachat, M.A. (2001). *Data-driven high school reform: The breaking ranks model*. Providence, RI: Northeast and Islands Regional Educational Laboratory.
- National Education Association. (2000). *Data-driven decision making and student achievement*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Visher, M.G., Emanuel, D. & Teitelbaum, P. (1999). *Key high school reform strategies: An overview of research findings*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Vocational and Adult Education.

Professional Development Affecting Student Results

- American Institutes for Research (AIR). *Does professional development change teaching practice? Results from a three-year study. (Evaluation of the Eisenhower Professional Development Program)*. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Brown, A.L., & Campione, J.C. (1996). Psychological theory and the design of innovative learning environments: On procedures, principles, and system. In L. Schauble & R. Glaser (Eds.), *Innovations in learning: New environments of education* (pp. 289-325). Mahwah, NJ: Laurence Erlbaum Associates.
- Clair, N., & Adger, C.T. (2000). Sustainable strategies for professional development. In K. e. Johnson (Ed.) *Case studies in TESOL practice series* (pp. 35). Alexandria, VA: TESOL.

Darling-Hammond, L., et al. (1993). *Creating learned-centered accountability*. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, National Center for Restructuring Education, Schools, and Teaching.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2000, January 1). Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. *Education Policy Analysis Archives* [Online] 8 (1). Available; <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v8n1/> (2000, August 9).

National Reading Panel (NRP). (2000). *Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction, reports of the subgroups*. Washington, DC.: National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health.

Wenglinsky, H. (2000). *How teaching matters: Bringing the classroom back into discussions of teacher quality*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service. Available: <http://www.ets.org/research/pic/teamat.pdf>